No. 89-1149

Supreme Court, U.S. F I L E D

APR 4 1990

JOSEPH F SAP HOL, JR.

In the Supreme Court of the United States OCTOBER TERM, 1989

COY R. GROGAN and JOHN H. HENSON, Petitioners,

VS.

FRANK J. GARNER, JR., Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

JOHN BENSON WILLIAMS
415 East 12th Street, Suite 200
Kansas City, Missouri 64106
(816) 881-3355

Counsel of Record

LARRY E. SELLS 3600 Madison Kansas City, Missouri 64111 (816) 756-2937

Counsel for Respondent

In the Supreme Court of the United States OCTOBER TERM, 1989

COY R. GROGAN and JOHN H. HENSON, Petitioners,

VS.

FRANK J. GARNER, JR., Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

JOHN BENSON WILLIAMS 415 East 12th Street, Suite 200 Kansas City, Missouri 64106 (816) 881-3355

Counsel of Record

LARRY E. SELLS 3600 Madison Kansas City, Missouri 64111 (816) 756-2937

Counsel for Respondent

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

The Respondent, Frank J. Garner, Jr., respectfully requests that this Court deny the Petition for Writ of Certiorari, seeking review of the Eighth Circuit's opinion in this case. That opinion is reported at 881 F.2d 579.

REASON WHY THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED

 There is no conflict in the decision of the Courts of Appeals.

Both the Eighth Circuit opinion in this case and the Petition for Writ of Certiorari conclusively demonstrate that the Eighth Circuit is following what it terms the majority rule followed by five of the circuits in requiring a clear and convincing standard of proof for fraud under Section 523(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

However, the alleged conflicting decision of the Fourth Circuit, Combs v. Richardson, 838 F.2d 112 (4th Cir. 1988), can and should be distinguished on its facts, in that it: (1) dealt with a willful and malicious injury under Section 523(a)6 rather than a fraud under Section 523(a)2; and, (2) the Court of Appeals in Combs was satisfied with the review by the Bankruptcy Court and the Court of Appeals in the case at bar was not.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, inasmuch as the Court of Appeals has followed the standard accepted by five circuits, and the decision of the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit can be distinguished on its facts, this Court should decline to grant certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN BENSON WILLIAMS
415 East 12th Street, Suite 200
Kansas City, MO 64106
(816) 881-3355

Counsel of Record

LARRY E. SELLS 3600 Madison Kansas City, Missouri 64111 (816) 756-2937

Counsel for Respondent